THE ECUADOR

HISTORY,

CULTURE,

POLITICS



CARLOS DE LA TORRE AND STEVE STRIFFLER, EDITORS

THE

ECUADOR

READER

HISTORY, CULTURE, POLITICS

Edited by Carlos de la Torre and Steve Striffler

DUKE UNIVERSITY PRESS Durham and London 2008

© 2008 Duke University Press
All rights reserved
Printed in the United States of America on acid-free paper ∞ Typeset in Monotype Dante by Achorn International
Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data appear
on the last printed page of this book.

Contents

Acknowledgments ix Introduction 1

I Conquest and Colonial Rule 9

Tamara Bray. Ecuador's Pre-Columbian Past 15

Frank Salomon. Ancestors, Grave Robbers, and the Possible Antecedents of Cañari "Inca-ism" 27

Susan V. Webster. Building a Life in Colonial Quito: José Jaime Ortiz, Architect and Entrepreneur 40

Sherwin K. Bryant. Finding Freedom: Slavery in Colonial Ecuador 52

Karen Vieira Powers. A Battle of Wills: Inventing Chiefly Legitimacy in the

Colonial North Andes 68

Sarah C. Chambers. Manuela Sáenz: Americana or Quiteña? 79

 Blanca Muratorio. The State, Missionaries, and Native Consciousness in the Upper Amazon, 1767–1896 86

II A New Nation 99

Andrés Guerrero. The Construction of a Ventriloquist's Image: Liberal Discourse and the "Miserable Indian Race" in the Late Nineteenth Century 103

Friedrich Hassaurek. Four Years among the Ecuadorians 117

Juan Montalvo. Selection from Juan Montalvo (1832–1889) 121

A. Kim Clark. Railway and Nation in Liberal Ecuador 126

Ronn Pineo. Guayaquil and Coastal Ecuador during the Cacao Era 136

Rob Rachowiecki. Mountaineering on the Equator: A Historical

Perspective 148

III The Rise of the Popular 155

Albert B. Franklin. Portrait of a People 159 José María Velasco Ibarra. You Are Not My President 163 Raphael V. Lasso. The Wonderland 167

Jorge Icaza. Patrón and Peon on an Andean Hacienda 169

Pablo Palacio. The Man Who Was Kicked to Death 175

Henri Michaux. The Indian's Cabin 182

José María Velasco Ibarra. "Heroic Pueblo of Guayaquil" 185

IV Global Currents 189

Galo Plaza Lasso. Two Experiments in Education for Democracy 193

- * Adrián Bonilla. The Origins of the Ecuadorian Left 200
- ★ Carmen Martinez Novo. The Progressive Catholic Church and the Indigenous Movement in Ecuador 203

Salomon Isacovici and Juan Manuel Rodríguez. Man of Ashes 209

Pablo Cuvi. Men of the Rails and of the Sea 218

Jean Muteba Rahier. Creolization and African Diaspora Cultures:

The Case of the Afro-Esmeraldian Décimas 226

Hernán Ibarra. Julio Jaramillo and Music as Identity 237

Steve Striffler. The United Fruit Company's Legacy in Ecuador 239

Tom Miller. The Panama Hat Trail 250

Diane C. Bates. Deforestation in Ecuador 257

- * Felipe Burbano de Lara. Deinstitutionalized Democracy 271

V Domination and Struggle 277

Carlos de la Torre. Nina Pacari, an Interview 279
 Sarah A. Radcliffe. Women's Movements in Twentieth-Century
 Ecuador 284

Pablo Ospina. The Galápagos: Environmental Pressures and Social Opportunities 297

Norman E. Whitten Jr. Emerald Freedom: "With Pride in the Face of the Sun" 302

Suzana Sawyer. Suing ChevronTexaco 321

Dorothea Scott Whitten. Arts of Amazonian and Andean Women 329

VI Cultures and Identities Redefined 337

Jean Muteba Rahier. National Identity and the First Black Miss Ecuador (1995–96) 341

Brad D. Jokisch and David Kyle. Ecuadorian International Migration 350 Mary J. Weismantel. Cities of Women 359

Noemí Espinosa. Traditional Foods of Ecuador 371
Rudi Colloredo-Mansfeld. Globalization from Below and The Political Turn among Otavalo's Merchant Artisans 377

★ X. Andrade. Pancho Jaime 385

Javier Vásconez. Big Angel, My Love 388

María Fernanda Espinosa. Nature and Humanity through Poetry 396

Barry Lyons, with Angel Aranda and Dina Guevara. "Simple People" 403

Iván Oñate. The Writings of Iván Oñate 415

Suggestions for Further Reading 419 Acknowledgment of Copyrights 423 Index 427

Civilization and Barbarism

Carlos de la Torre

Ecuador's return to civilian rule and representative government in 1979 signified a real turn toward democracy. Political parties flourished, popular movements were reinvigorated, and the military has not taken formal, sustained control of the government since the 1970s. Yet, as the sociologist Carlos de la Torre shows, it is a fragile, incomplete, and contradictory form of democracy that remains clientelistic, prone to populist impulses, highly unstable, and ultimately corrupt.

Ecuador's "transition to democracy" (1976-79) was envisioned not simply as a return to elected civilian governments, but rather as the political complement of the economic and social modernization achieved by Ecuador during the military regimes of the 1970s. Ecuador was transformed from a banana- and cacao-exporting country into an oil-producing nation. This predominantly rural society, where hacendados controlled rural cultivators, saw the weakening of the hacienda system, high levels of urbanization, the growth of the state, and the expansion of the urban informal sector as well as the working-class and middle-class sectors. Until approximately the 1960s, traditional haciendas were the dominant institutions structuring life for Ecuadorians. The first agrarian census showed that in the 1950s, when most of the highland population (73.8) percent) was rural, large haciendas monopolized more than three-quarters of the total area. The hacienda was also a system of political and ideological domination that allowed landowners, directly or via the mediation of mestizo priests and village authorities, to monopolize power at local levels. The agrarian reform laws of the 1960s and 1970s eroded the social and political power of the traditional haciendas. By 1985, 36.2 percent of the land belonged to large farms, 30.3 percent to medium-sized units, and 33.5 percent to small units. Unfortunately, these agrarian transformations did not put an end to the latifundio-minifundio system, and the peasants' third of agricultural land is still insufficient to sustain the majority of the rural population. Nevertheless, such changes did create a power vacuum in the countryside that allowed for the eruption of autonomous Indian organizations and the increasing presence of modern political parties.

Ecuador is currently an urban country. In 1988 urban voters accounted for 75 percent of registered voters. As in other Latin American nations, capitalist development has not resulted in full proletarianization. Moreover, the crises of the 1980s have diminished the number of workers employed in manufacturing by 10 percent: from 113,000 in 1980 to 102,000 in 1986. Industrial workers rely on various strategies to make up for the lack of adequate family wages. Neoliberal adjustment policies have resulted in a drastic decline of real wages, which decreased by almost 30 percent between 1980 and 1985 and at an annual rate of 8 percent between 1986 and 1990. Most workers survive through a wide range of informal activities, such as street vending, domestic service, and self-employment in microenterprises. Official estimates place the informal sector between 40 and 50 percent of the economically active population.

Urbanization and the transformation of the traditional hacienda system were seen as the preconditions for political "progress." With the hope of designing new political institutions and creating a "modern" political system based on party competition, the military government of the 1970s appointed three commissions composed of representatives of political parties, employers' associations, labor unions, and other organized groups. Their goal was to rationalize the party system to avoid the cycle of populism and military coup that had characterized the country's history since the emergence of Velasquismo. The franchise was expanded from 2 million to more than 4 million voters between 1979 and 1988 due to population growth, voter registration drives, and the elimination of literacy requirements.

The plan to create a political system based on regular elections has been somewhat successful. Ecuador is experiencing its longest phase of elected civilian regimes to date. From 1979 to the present, presidents of different ideological persuasions have succeeded one another in office. Even so, political parties continue to be weak and numerous. Personalism, clientelism, and populism still characterize political struggles. Political parties, politicians, and politics in general appear discredited in public opinion surveys. The semilegal demises of President Abdalá Bucaram in February 1997, President Jamil Mahuad in January 2000, and President Lucio Gutiérrez in April 2005 revealed that democracy, even in its more restricted definition, has not been institutionalized.

Political elites still view the state as an entity to be either captured, in whole or in part, to be defended against, or both. The Ecuadorian state is booty. Elites are more interested in capturing state resources to build and maintain clientelist networks and increase the pool of patronage resources than in respecting democratic procedures. Civilian regimes, ruling in an economic crisis, have applied neoliberal policies, which have further increased

social inequalities and political instability. Thus far, the military has abstained from carrying out a coup d'état. Its respect for civilian regimes, however, cannot be explained by a general commitment to democracy. More likely, the military has been deterred by economic crisis, by the dangers intervention would present to professional unity, and especially by a new international conjuncture. The military is not fully subordinated to civilian rule but maintains a series of privileges and veto powers, which, in apt characterization of the political scientist, Brian Loveman, make Ecuador at best a "protected democracy."

The sobering reality of Ecuador's political system is that common citizens and political elites typically do not behave according to the expectations of the modernizing intellectuals and politicians who designed the new political institutions. Instead of reflecting on the failure to fully realize this (restricted) conception of democracy, these intellectuals and politicians have constructed images of the antimodern populist "other." Populist leaders and their followers have been constructed as outsiders to the rule of reason and democracy. Populist followers are told that instead of shouting in public plazas in response to demagogues, they should "rationally" consider how to vote in the solitude of their homes. A quixotic task indeed, but one that nonetheless allows so-called modernizing elites to prescribe how politics should be conducted and reinforces their self-designation as the moral guides of what they term as modern Ecuador. Reflecting global changes in political discourse, neoliberalism has become the new dogma and panacea since the 1992 elections, replacing the modernizing social democratic plan of 1980.

Today, as in the past, populist politics continues to challenge the restricted character of Ecuadorian democracy. Contrary to the interpretations of many politicians and academics, populism is a specifically modern phenomenon. It is a form of political incorporation and of rhetoric that has been present in Ecuador since the eruption of mass politics sparked by Velasquismo in the late 1930s and early 1940s. Given the ways in which existing conceptions of democracy and citizenship silence and exclude the popular sectors, populist followers continue to seek empowerment by staging mass dramas and occupying public spaces in the name of their leader. The continuing relevance of the rhetoric and mobilization style that appeals to "lo popular" has not been matched by a strengthening of citizenship rights. Civil rights are not respected, and neoliberal economic policies have further reduced limited entitlements to social rights.

Plans for democratization, which appeal to supposedly universalistic conceptions of rationality, tend to silence and exclude large segments of the population. Despite elite wishes that the excluded "other" adapt and conform

270 Carlos de la Torre

to proper notions of modern and rational politics, these subjects have not accepted such impositions even if defiance has been articulated through the delegation of power to authoritarian leaders. Populist politics presents an important example of how the marginal other does not conform to elitist so-called democratic politics.